

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/807,139	03/24/2004	Jaekwang Choi	2557-000215/US	2759
30593	7590 07/21/2005	हे द -	EXAMINER	
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE,	P.L.C.	GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
P.O. BOX 89 RESTON, V		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10001011, 1	11 201/3		1763	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,139	CHOI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· ·
	George A. Goudreau	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (3-2-	4-04' to 4-15-05').		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application	ı .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	` •	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	•	received in this National Sta	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	امد مرم
	a. me cannou dopido not	GEORGEGO PRIMARY E	NUDREAU XAMINER 9-051
Attachment(s)	,, r	7-1	ן יכט ו
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/807,139

Art Unit: 1763

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 15-17, and 29-31, drawn to a cmp polishing composition, classified in class 252, subclass 79.1 (+).
- II. Claims 12-14, 18-28, and 32-38, drawn to a method for cmp polishing a semiconductor substrate, classified in class 438, subclass 692 (+).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as one in which polysi is not cmp polished.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/807,139

Art Unit: 1763

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

George A. Goudreau Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763